

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ustrio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,079	10/20/2000	JON DAKSS	WMI-004 (8415/4)	7895	
21323 7	7590 11/20/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP			KOENIG, ANDREW Y		
HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02110			2611	7	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			09/694,079	DAKSS ET AL.					
		y	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
			Andrew Y Koenig	2611					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	Responsive to communication(s	t) filed on							
/ <u> </u>	This action is FINAL .	•	-· action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims			,					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[🛛	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 29-51</u> is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
<u> </u>	The specification is objected to b								
	The drawing(s) filed on is.								
	Applicant may not request that any			` '					
44) 🗆 :	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		and and the consider OF LLO O. C. 4.	0(-) (-) (0					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144			nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/694,079 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claim 28 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior Art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest a plurality of annotations having equal time information and a viewing requesting which annotation of the plurality is to be displayed.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 12 December 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 15, 39, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 15 and 45, claims 15 and 45 recite, "said mask data and said at least one of textual data and graphics data are transmitted over separate streams in said broadcast channel." It is unclearly which (mask, textual, graphics, or video) data are transmitted on over a separate stream. For the purposes of examination, the claim will receive the broadest reasonable interpretation of any of mask, textual, graphics, or video data.

Regarding claim 39, it is unclear how the location reference can be both the upper left most pixel (as recited in claim 38) and a centroid pixel as recited in claim 39. For the purposes of examination, the claim 39 will depend from claim 37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 24, 25, 27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,615,408 to Kaiser et al.

Regarding claims 24 and 29, Kaiser teaches a reproduction apparatus (fig. 1, label 1300) in communication with a broadcast channel (col. 4, II. 59-67; col. 5, II. 22-31), a display (fig. 1, label 1200) (claimed display device). Kaiser teaches a receiver

decoding a digital signal to recover a video signal (such as high definition television formats, see col. 5, II. 30) and annotation data (fig. 2). Kaiser teaches displaying annotation information in response to a viewer request (fig. 5, col. 9, II. 37-65), which is available on a frame-by-frame basis.

Regarding claim 25, Kaiser teaches placement zones synchronized to the display of the video (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 27, Kaiser clearly synchronizes the placement zones and the video in response to timing information in order to place the placement zones over the proper location, such as a car as shown in figures 6A-6D.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 31, 33, 34, 44, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,774,664 to Hidary et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 31, Hidary teaches a content creation device (fig. 1, label 4) which clearly has a video source and produces a transport stream, which is inherent to MPEG-2 (col. 4, II. 36-39). After the video program is created, Hidary teaches an annotation source, such as Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) (col. 4, II. 40-47). Whereas Hidary teaches inserting the URL into the vertical blanking Interval (VBI) of a

Page 5

signal, Hidary clearly teaches MPEG-2 transmissions, which do not have a VBI. Hidary does not explicitly teach a multiplexer. Official Notice is taken that multiplexers are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hidary by using a multiplexer in order to transmit the URL as a data packet within the transport stream thereby efficiently sending information within a given channel. The embedded URL contains timing information in order to present the URLs at the appropriate time (col. 5, II. 21 – 33, col. 7, II. 31-33), which reads on synchronizing the annotation data with the video signal.

Regarding claims 33 and 34, Hidary teaches using annotation data for information regarding goods and services (col. 2, II. 33-40, col. 8, II. 18-44) and non-commercial information (col. 2, II. 43-48, col. 8, II. 18-44).

Regarding claim 44, Whereas Hidary teaches inserting the URL into the vertical blanking Interval (VBI) of a signal, Hidary clearly teaches MPEG-2 transmissions, which do not have a VBI. Hidary does not explicitly teach a multiplexer. Official Notice is taken that multiplexers are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hidary by using a multiplexer in order to transmit the URL as a data packet within the transport stream thereby efficiently sending information within a given channel.

Regarding claim 46, Hidary teaches a broadcasting, as shown in figure 1.

9. Claims 2 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,774,664 to Hidary et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,415,438 to Blackketter et al.

Regarding claims 2 and 32, Hidary teaches inserting the URL at the time it is needed; but is silent on the timing information comprising one of a timestamp, timecode, frame numbering, or global time of day. Blackketter teaches inserting triggers with a time attribute (col. 4, II. 64-67), such as a frame number (col. 6, II. 16-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hidary by using time information such as a frame number as taught by Blackketter in order to eliminate a delay loop (Blackketter: col. 2, II. 59-61).

10. Claims 3-12, 14, 16-18, 20-23, and 35-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,774,664 to Hidary et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,615,408 to Kaiser et al.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 14, 16, 35, 36, Hidary teaches a URL which reads on textual data; but is silent on mask data and location information. Kaiser teaches a placement zone which references a product being displayed, wherein the placement zone is a location of an object (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hidary by using a placement zone (claimed mask data) including location information as taught by Kaiser in order to increase interactivity and enable the user to gather more information on a product.

Regarding claims 5-7, 37-39, the combination of Hidary and Kaiser has been explained above. Further, Kaiser teaches placement zones for various frames (fig. 2, col. 6, II. 9-17), wherein the placement can track an image at the upper left corner (col. 10, II. 34-38). Whereas Hidary and Kaiser are silent on a location reference at the centroid pixel, Official Notice is taken that a center position is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hidary and Kaiser by using a center position (as a reference point) in order to properly track an image thereby increasing the effectiveness of the placement zone.

Regarding claims 8-10, 17, 40-42, the combination of Hidary and Kaiser has been explained above. Kaiser teaches location and shape information such as the shape and location of the car (col. 10, II. 34-38), see figures 6A-6D, wherein the visual highlight (fig. 6B, label 6500) is a graphical overlay and has an outline of the car.

Regarding claims 11 and 43, Hidary and Kaiser are silent on a mathematical representation of set of pixels. Official Notice is taken that mathematical representation of pixels are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hidary and Kaiser by using a mathematical representation in order to save bandwidth and process the information at the client side.

Regarding claims 12 and 18, Hidary teaches a content creation device (fig. 1, label 4) which clearly has a video source and produces a transport stream, which is inherent to MPEG-2 (col. 4, II. 36-39). After the video program is created, Hidary

Page 8

teaches an annotation source, such as Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) (col. 4, II. 40-47). Whereas Hidary teaches inserting the URL into the vertical blanking Interval (VBI) of a signal, Hidary clearly teaches MPEG-2 transmissions, which do not have a VBI. Since there is no other place for the data other than the transport stream, Hidary teaches multiplexing the supplemental (URL) data into the transport stream to create an augmented transport stream for transmissions (col. 36-39). The embedded URL contains timing information in order to present the URLs at the appropriate time (col. 5, II. 21 – 33, col. 7, II. 31-33), which reads on synchronizing the annotation data with the video signal. Hidary teaches a broadcast channel as shown in figure 1, (video with URLs), a local URL decoder (claimed receiver), and display monitor (claimed display device). Hidary is silent on displaying the URLs in response to a viewer request. Kaiser teaches displaying annotation information in response to a viewer request (fig. 5, col. 9, II. 37-65) in order to display more information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hidary by receiving a viewer request to display annotation information as taught by Kaiser in order to display information pertinent to the user.

Regarding claim 20, Kaiser teaches a back channel (fig. 1, label 1400-data network).

Regarding claim 21, Hidary and Kaiser are silent on a store and forward channel.

Official Notice is taken that a store and forward channel is well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hidary and Kaiser by implementing a store and forward

Application/Control Number: 09/694,079

Art Unit: 2611

channel in order to efficiently manage the bandwidth of the system by only using resources when necessary.

Regarding claims 22 and 23, Hidary teaches using annotation data for information regarding goods and services (col. 2, II. 33-40, col. 8, II. 18-44) and non-commercial information (col. 2, II. 43-48, col. 8, II. 18-44).

11. Claims 13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,774,664 to Hidary et al. and U.S. Patent 6,615,408 to Kaiser et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,415,438 to Blackketter et al.

Regarding claims 13 and 19, Hidary teaches inserting the URL at the time it is needed; but is silent on the timing information comprising one of a timestamp, timecode, frame numbering, or global time of day. Blackketter teaches inserting triggers with a time attribute (col. 4, II. 64-67), such as a frame number (col. 6, II. 16-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hidary by using time information such as a frame number as taught by Blackketter in order to eliminate a delay loop (Blackketter: col. 2, II. 59-61).

12. Claims 15 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,774,664 to Hidary et al. and U.S. Patent 6,615,408 to Kaiser et al. in view of U.S. Patent 5,541,662 to Adams et al.

Regarding claims 15 and 45, Hidary teaches a URL which reads on textual data; but is silent on mask data and location information. Kaiser teaches a placement zone

which references a product being displayed, wherein the placement zone is a location of an object (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hidary by using a placement zone (claimed mask data) including location information as taught by Kaiser in order to increase interactivity and enable the user to gather more information on a product. Hidary and Kaiser are silent on the mask data and one of textual or graphics data transmitted over separate streams in the broadcast. Adams teaches mask data and textual or graphics data transmitted as data packets in the transport stream, wherein the data packet is separate from the audio and video packets.

Page 10

13. Claims 26, 30, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,615,408 to Kaiser et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,415,438 to Blackketter et al..

Regarding claims 26, 30, and 48, Kaiser is silent on the timing information comprising one of a timestamp, timecode, frame numbering, or global time of day. Blackketter teaches inserting triggers with a time attribute (col. 4, II. 64-67), such as a frame number (col. 6, II. 16-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kaiser by using time information such as a frame number as taught by Blackketter in order to eliminate a delay loop (Blackketter: col. 2, II. 59-61).

14. Claims 47, 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,615,408 to Kaiser et al.

Regarding claim 47, Kaiser teaches a reproduction apparatus (fig. 1, label 1300) in communication with a broadcast channel (col. 4, II. 59-67; col. 5, II. 22-31), a display (fig. 1, label 1200) (claimed display device). Kaiser teaches a receiver decoding a digital signal to recover a video signal (such as high definition television formats, see col. 5, II. 30) and annotation data (fig. 2). Kaiser teaches displaying annotation information in response to a viewer request (fig. 5, col. 9, II. 37-65), which is available on a frame-by-frame basis. Kaiser is silent on demultiplexing, however Official Notice is taken that demultiplexing a signal is well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kaiser by demultiplexing the signal in order to process the information the further decode the data to supply supplemental information.

Regarding claim 49, Kaiser teaches a back channel (fig. 1, label 1400-data network).

Regarding claim 50, Kaiser teaches product selection or information (col. 3, II. 3-5), collecting user information (col. 14, II. 3-21), and accepting information regarding a commercial transaction and completing the transaction (fig. 9).

Regarding claim 51, Kaiser performs a 'secure transaction verification' procedure (fig. 9, label 9300), which in the broadest reasonable sense has some information regarding a viewer identifier in order to identify the viewer.

Conclusion

Page 12

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Y Koenig whose telephone number is (703) 306-0399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:30 - 6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

ayk

HAITRAN PATENT EXAMINER